## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES SCHLEGEL and : CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:05-CV-0660

KELLY SCHLEGEL,

(Judge Conner)

**Plaintiffs** 

.

WILSON-COOK MEDICAL, INC., :

v.

**Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff** 

:

**v.** 

A. DAVID FROEHLICH, M.D., et al., :

Third-Party Defendants :

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 30th day of August, 2007, upon consideration of the request by third-party defendant Holy Spirit Hospital for a protective order precluding defendant/third-party plaintiff Wilson-Cook Medical, Inc. from seeking discovery regarding whether third-party defendant Dr. Froehlich was an ostensible agent of Holy Spirit Hospital, and Holy Spirit Hospital's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 72), and following a telephone conference with the parties (see Doc. 87), and it appearing that the parties agree that the court must determine whether the joint tortfeasor agreement between plaintiffs and third-party defendants (Doc. 80-2) is a

pro rata release under Pennsylvania law, but they dispute the effect a pro rata release would have on the instant action, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. Holy Spirit Hospital's request for a protective order is GRANTED as follows: Discovery on the issue of whether Dr. Froehlich was an ostensible agent of Holy Spirit Hospital is STAYED pending resolution of the motion for summary judgment (Doc. 72).
- 2. All parties shall be permitted to file, on or before September 28, 2007, a supplemental brief addressing whether the joint tortfeasor release between plaintiffs and third-party defendants (Doc. 80-2) is a pro rata release under Pennsylvania law and the effect of a pro rata release on the instant action.

S/ Christopher C. Conner CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> During the telephone conference, the court informed the parties that it would address the issue of whether the joint tortfeasor agreement (Doc. 80-2) is a pro rata release in the context of the pending motion for summary judgment (Doc. 72). The court notes that Holy Spirit Hospital and Wilson-Cook Medical, Inc. did not address this issue in briefing the motion.